

COURT ADMINISTRATION

KENDRA J. MIKNIS District Court Administrator Centre County Courthouse 102 South Allegheny Street, Room 103 Bellefonte, Pennsylvania 16823

Telephone (814) 355-6727 Fax (814) 355-6707

PRESS RELEASE January 5, 2022

Pursuant to 42 Pa. C.S.A.§ 1503, following the federal decennial census, the Court is required to evaluate and reestablish, if needed, the boundaries and caseloads of the magisterial district courts within the judicial district.

Centre County has six (6) magisterial district courts within the 49th Judicial District. After evaluating the caseload numbers and current boundaries, the Court proposes to reestablish (no change) those six (6) magisterial district courts.

The reestablishment plan for Centre County will be available for thirty (30) days to allow for public comment. The plan can be viewed on the Centre County Judiciary/Court Administration website (www.centrecountypa.gov). The plan will also be available in the Centre County Court Administrator's Office and within the six (6) magisterial district courts.

The thirty (30) day public comment period will expire at the close of business on February 4, 2022. Public comments regarding the reestablishment plan can be mailed to the following address:

Centre County Court Administration
Centre County Courthouse, Room 103
102 South Allegheny Street
Bellefonte, PA 16823
Attn: Kendra J. Miknis, District Court Administrator

Public comments can also be emailed to kjmiknis@centrecountypa.gov. All public comments must be in writing and must include the full name and address of the sender. Comments specific to the proposed plan(s) will be included with the final petition that is forwarded to the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania for approval.



Mag	gisterial District Court Number:	49-3-02	Со	ounty:	Centre	$\overline{\mathbf{Y}}$
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablish)	₹ 2. Effe	ective date	: 1/1/2023
Case	eload Analysis					
		Avg for Magisterial Distr	ict	Avg for Judi	cial District	Avg for Class of County
3.	Average total caseloads:	6,890	В.	. 4,	,689	c. 4,150
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of th	nis <i>Differer</i>	nce (3A - 3B)	Ranking Total
	magisterial district to your judicial o	listrict caseload ave	rage	. 2	2201	1st ▼ out of 6
5.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of th	nis <i>Differei</i>	nce (3A - 3C)	% Above/Below
	magisterial district to your class of c	_			2740	+66%
Wo	rkload Analysis					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Avg for Mag	isterial District	t Avg for Judicial District
7.	Average total workloads:			A. 4	5,116	B. 33,982
8.	Compare the difference between the	e average total		Differenc	e (7A - 7B)	% Above/Below
	workloads of this magisterial district	to the judicial distr	ict.	1′	1,134	+32%
9.	If this magisterial district's average very judicial district average workload a district, please explain (summarize ye unwarranted inequity among the judicial)	and you are prop your response from	osing	to <u>rees</u>	tablish thi	is magisterial



Mag	gisterial District Court Number:	49-3-03	Со	unty:	Centre			T
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablish		2. Effe	ective date	: 1/1/20)23	
Case	eload Analysis							
		Avg for Magisterial Distri	ict	Avg for Judio	cial District	Avg for	Class of C	County
3.	Average total caseloads:	5,411 A.	В.		689	C.	4,150)
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of th	is Differen	ice (3A - 3B)	Ranking		Total
	magisterial district to your judicial c	listrict caseload ave	rage.		722	2nd	▼ out o	f 6
5.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of th	is Differer	nce (3A - 3C)	% Ab	ove/Belo	w
	magisterial district to your class of c	ounty caseload ave	rage.		1261		+30	%
	reestablish (no changes), please sun you are departing from caseload equ	•	nse fr	rom the p	lan that ex	plains v	vhy	
Wor	kload Analysis			Market Miles and the second se				
7	Average total workloads:		-	Avg for Mag	isterial District	Avg for	r Judicial i	District
/.	Average total workloads.			A. 2	5,870	В.	33,98	32
8.	Compare the difference between the	e average total	-	Difference	e (7A - 7B)	% A	bove/Beld	ow
Salara de Production de la Constantina del Constantina de la Const	workloads of this magisterial district	to the judicial distr	ict.	-8	,112		-23	3 %
9.	If this magisterial district's average verification judicial district average workload a district, please explain (summarize ye unwarranted inequity among the judicial district).	and you are propo our response from t	osing	to rees	tablish thi	s magi	sterial	



Mag	gisterial District Court Number:	49-2-01	Со	unty:	Centre			v
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablish	} [2. Eff	ective date	: 1/1/20)23	
Cas	eload Analysis							
		Avg for Magisterial Distr	ict	Avg for Judi	cial District	Avg for	Class of Cou	unty
3.	Average total caseloads:	4,814	В.	4,	689	C.	4,150	
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of th	is <i>Differen</i>	nce (3A - 3B)	Ranking	T	otal
	magisterial district to your judicial o	listrict caseload ave	erage.	•	125	3rd	out of (6
5.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of th	is Differen	nce (3A - 3C)	% Ab	ove/Below	
	magisterial district to your class of c	_			664		+16%	ó.
6.	If this magisterial district is at the love reestablish (no changes), please sun you are departing from caseload equ	nmarize your respo			 '	· ·	_	

	Control of the Contro						·	
Wo	rkload Analysis					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
			-	Avg for Mag	isterial District	: Avg for	r Judicial Dis	strict
7.	Average total workloads:			A. 4	2,923	В.	33,982	2
8.	Compare the difference between the	e average total		Differenc	e (7A - 7B)	% A	bove/Below	v
	workloads of this magisterial district	to the judicial distr	ict.	8	,941	٠.	+26%	%
9.	If this magisterial district's average very judicial district average workload a district, please explain (summarize ye unwarranted inequity among the judicial district).	and you are propo our response from	osing	to <u>rees</u>	tablish thi	s magi:	sterial	



Ma	gisterial District Court Number:	49-1-01	(Coun	ity:	Centre			•
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablish	1		2. Eff	ective date	:: 1/1/	2023	
Cas	eload Analysis					·			
		Avg for Magisterial Distr	ict	Avg	for Judi	cial District	Avg f	or Class o	f County
3.	Average total caseloads:	4,139		В.	4,	689	C.	4,15	50
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of	this	Differer	nce (3A - 3B)	Rankii	ng	Total
	magisterial district to your judicial d	istrict caseload ave	erag	ge.		-550	4th	▼ out	of 6
5.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of	this	Differei	nce (3A - 3C)	%	Above/Be	low
	magisterial district to your class of c	_		l		-11		-2	6%
	reestablish (no changes), please sun you are departing from caseload equ	•	nse	fron	n the p	lan that ex	plains	swhy	
Wo	rkload Analysis								
7.	Average total workloads			Avg	for Mag	isterial District	Avg	for Judicio	l District
<i>/</i> .	Average total workloads:	,		A.	4	0,576	В.	33,9	982
8.	Compare the difference between the	average total		L	Differenc	e (7A - 7B)	%	Above/B	elow
	workloads of this magisterial district	to the judicial distr	ict.		6	,594		+1	9%
9.	If this magisterial district's average we judicial district average workload a district, please explain (summarize ye unwarranted inequity among the judicial district).	nd you are propo our response from t	osir	ng to	rees	tablish thi	s ma	gisteria	1



Caseload Analysis 3. Average total caseloads: Average total caseloads: Average total caseloads: Average total caseloads: Average total district to your judicial district caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average. 5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average. 6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload average. 7. Average total workloads: 8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. 9. If this magisterial district is average workload and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity. Workload State of this magisterial district to the judicial district. Average total workloads: Average total workloads is fifteen (15%) percence (7A - 7B) % Above/Below Average total workload is fifteen (15%) percence (7A - 7B) % Above/Below Average total workload is fifteen (15%) percence (7A - 7B) % Above/Below Average workload is fifteen (15%) percence (7A - 7B) % Above/Below Average workload is fifteen (15%) percence (7A - 7B) % Above/Below Average workload is fifteen (15%) percence (7A - 7B) % Above/Below Average workload is fifteen (15%) percence (7A - 7B) % Above/Below Average workload is fifteen (15%) percence (7A - 7B) % Above/Below Average workload is fifteen (15%) percence (7A - 7B) % Above/Below Average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district were average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.	Magisterial District Court Number:	49-3-04	Cou	nty:	Centre			lacksquare
Average total caseloads: Average total difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average. Average total district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity. Average total workloads: Average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. Average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. Average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. Average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. Average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. Average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. Average total workloads: Average total vort of 6 Difference (3A - 3C) Average (3A -	1. Proposed plan for this magisterial dis	strict: Reestablish	۱ 🔻	2. Eff	ective date	: 1/1/20:	23	
3. Average total caseloads: A. 4,075 B. 4,689 C. 4,150 Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average. 5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average. 6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity. 7. Average total workloads: 8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. 9. If this magisterial district's average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an incomparity of the plan in the	Caseload Analysis							
A. 4,073 B. 4,089 C. 4,180 4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average. 5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average. 6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity. 7. Average total workloads: 8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. 9. If this magisterial district's average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district in an of the caseload average. Difference (3A - 3C)		Avg for Magisterial Distr	ict A	vg for Judi	cial District	Avg for C	lass of Count	ty
## Compare the difference between the caseload average. 5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average. 6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity. Average total workloads: Average total workloads: Avg for Magisterial District Avg for Judicial District Avg fo	3. Average total caseloads:	4,075	В.	4,	,689	C.	4,150	
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average. 6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity. Workload Analysis 7. Average total workloads: 8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. 9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district in an	4. Compare the difference between the	e caseload average	of this	Differer	nce (3A - 3B)	Ranking	Tota	al_
magisterial district to your class of country caseload average. -75 -2% 6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity. Workload Analysis 7. Average total workloads:	magisterial district to your judicial d	istrict caseload ave	erage.		-614	5th ▼	out of 6	
Morkload Analysis 7. Average total workloads: 8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district to the judicial district. 9. If this magisterial district's average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity. Average total workloads: Average total workloads: Average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. Difference (7A - 7B)	5. Compare the difference between the	e caseload average	of this	Differe	nce (3A - 3C)	% Abo	ve/Below	
reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity. Workload Analysis 7. Average total workloads: 8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. 9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an		_			-75		-2%	
Workload Analysis 7. Average total workloads: 8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. 9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district and summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an	I			-			-	
Workload Analysis 7. Average total workloads: 8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. 9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an		•	nse fro	m the p	lan that ex	plains w	hy	
Workload Analysis 7. Average total workloads: 8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. 9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an	you are departing from caseload equ	iity.						-
Workload Analysis 7. Average total workloads: 8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. 9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district in an district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an	Note that				*			
Workload Analysis 7. Average total workloads: 8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. 9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district in an district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an								
Workload Analysis 7. Average total workloads: 8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. 9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district in an district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an	A section of the sect						t grant	
7. Average total workloads: 8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. 9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an								
 Average total workloads: A. 21,627 B. 33,982 Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. 	Workload Analysis							
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. 9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an			A	g for Mag	isterial District	Avg for.	ludicial Distr	ict
workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. 9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an	7. Average total workloads:		Д					
9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an	8. Compare the difference between the	average total		Differenc	e (7A - 7B)	% Ab	ove/Below	
judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an	workloads of this magisterial district	to the judicial distr	ict.	-1:	2,355		-36 %	
	judicial district average workload <u>a</u> district, please explain (<i>summarize y</i>	ind you are propo our response from	osing	to <u>rees</u>	tablish thi	s magis [.]	terial	



Mag	gisterial District Court Number:	49-3-05	С	oun	ity:	Centre			•
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablish	າ		2. Eff	ective date	: 1/1/20	23	
Case	eload Analysis								
		Avg for Magisterial Distr	ict	Avg	for Judi	cial District	Avg for C	lass of Co	ounty
3.	Average total caseloads:	A. 2,803	E	В.	4	,689	C.	4,150	
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of t	his	Differei	nce (3A - 3B)	Ranking		Total
	magisterial district to your judicial c	listrict caseload ave	erag	e.	-	1886	6th ▼	out of	6
5.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of t	his	Differe	nce (3A - 3C)	% Abo	ve/Below	/
	magisterial district to your class of c	_			-	1347		-329	%
6.									
	reestablish (no changes), please sun	·	nse	fron	n the p	olan that ex	plains w	hy	
	you are departing from caseload equ	aity.						-	
	$S(x,y) = S(x,y) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \right) \right)}{1} \right) \right) \right)}{1} \right)} \right)} \right)} \right)} \right)} \right)} \right)} \right)} \right)} \right)$								
14/	ditant finalists								
VVOI	rkload Analysis			LAva	for Mag	isterial Distric	t Aug for	Judicial D	listrict
7.	Average total workloads:			Avg	·····		Avg jor	Judicial D	
		•		A.		27,776	В.	33,98	
8.	Compare the difference between the	e average total		1	Differenc	ce (7A - 7B)	% Ab	ove/Belo	
	workloads of this magisterial district	to the judicial dist	rict.		-(5,206		-18	%
9.	If this magisterial district's average very judicial district average workload a district, please explain (summarize year) unwarranted inequity among the judicial series of the series	and you are prop your response from	osin	ng to	rees	tablish th	is magis	terial	



Average Annual Workload per I	Workload per MDC/	County = To	otal Workload	MDC/County = Total Workload/# of years (6, 3 for MD)/# of commissioned MDIs	, 3 for MD,	1/# of cc	Immissioned I	NDJs		Workload Range	a
County/MDC	Criminal	Non- Traffic	Private Criminal	Private Summary	Traffic	Civil	Landlord/T enant	Misc. Docket	15% Below	Total Workload	15% Above
Centre	12985	7064	9	457	7550	1483	1434	3004	28885	33982	39080
49-0-00	9	0	0	0	0				5	9	7
49-1-01	15171	14972	0	154	4611	938	1767	2964	34490	40576	46662
49-2-01	17602	8933	29	163	6209	2062	3420	4006	36485	42923	49361
49-3-02	17767	5274	4	1328	12243	2038	1101	5363	38349	45116	51884
49-3-03	8268	2860	2	340	10610	1630	632	1227	21989	25870	29750
49-3-04	7191	2219	0	902	7687	1692	089	1553	18383	21627	24871
49-3-05	11604	8128	0	149	3443	536	1007	2909	23609	27776	31942

	Criminal	Non- Traffic	Private Criminal	Private Summary	Traffic	Civil	Landlord/Tenant	Misc. Docket	Annual Average Filings per Court
Class 4	422	564		88	2670	208	120		4150
Beaver	511	526	3	92	2764	201	175	104	4376
Butler	438	475	6	44	2633	244	102	81	4022
Cambria	374	391	9	75	1798	155	79	28	2909
Centre	354	658	1	43	3312	131	99	92	4689
Fayette	479	747	8	293	2264	196	99	110	4196
Franklin	372	420	9	145	2548	190	174	52	3911
Monroe	431	448	2	67	3389	306	128	75	4846
Schuylkill	446	675	1	3	2714	240	110	81	4270
Washington	391	722	7	48	2629	188	112	54	4151