#### FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

From: Michael T. Vough, President Judge

11th Judicial District of Pennsylvania

**Court of Common Pleas of Luzerne County** 

#### **MEDIA RELEASE:**

In complying with the decennial reestablishment of magisterial districts as mandated by 42 Pa. C.S.A. § 1503, Luzerne County President Judge Michael T. Vough has approved a proposed plan for Magisterial District Court redistricting, also referred to as re-establishment. The proposed Luzerne County Magisterial District Plan is available for review in the Office of Court Administration, 3<sup>rd</sup> floor, Luzerne County Courthouse, 200 N. River Street, Wilkes-Barre, PA. 18711, and on the internet at <a href="https://www.luzernecounty.org">www.luzernecounty.org</a>.

Public comment on the proposed re-establishment plan is invited. Interested parties may submit written comments. Comments may also be submitted by email. Comments must be received on or before 4:00 p.m. Friday, February 25, 2022.

Submit comments to:

Paul Hindmarsh, District Court Administrator

Luzerne County Courthouse

200 North River Street

Wilkes-Barre, PA. 18711

Or by email: Paul.Hindmarsh@luzernecounty.org

#### MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT RE-ESTABLISHMENT GENERAL INFORMATION

Judicial redistricting, also referred to as re-establishment, is a statutorily required process that takes place once every 10 years to determine how to allocate state Court system resources to best serve the Commonwealth's citizens.

The statewide process of redrawing Magisterial District Court boundaries is conducted by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court.

The President Judge is required to submit a re-establishment plan for each Judicial District. President Michael T. Vough has approved the proposed re-establishment plan for Luzerne County.

A comprehensive analysis of the configuration of each Magisterial District in Luzerne County was undertaken to insure that the Magisterial District Courts can appropriately serve the County's residents over the next decade.

In addition to case filing statistics, a new measure was developed to differentiate among case types and the resulting Judicial workload that arises from those filings. This measure was developed by a committee of approximately twenty Magisterial District Judges (MDJ's) from Districts of varying size and demographics, representative of the Commonwealth; and is based upon empirical studies of the amount of Judge time required to process each case type. Actual case filings are then adjusted by the relative case type weights from the study. This measurement is called workload since it measures not just the volume of filings within a Magisterial District, but the relative amount of work required by the Judge.

Presently, there are sixteen Magisterial District Courts serving Luzerne County. The proposed plan provides for the re-establishment of all sixteen District Courts.

The proposed Luzerne County Magisterial District Plan is available for review in the Office of Court Administration, 3<sup>rd</sup> floor, Luzerne County Courthouse, 200 N. River Street, Wilkes-Barre, PA. 18711 and on the internet at <a href="https://www.luzernecounty.org">www.luzernecounty.org</a>.

Public comment on the proposed re-establishment plan is invited. Interested parties may submit written comments. Comments may also be submitted by email. Comments must be received on or before 4:00 p.m. Friday, February 25, 2022.

Submit comments to:
Paul Hindmarsh, District Court Administrator
Luzerne County Courthouse
200 N. River Street
Wilkes-Barre, PA. 18711

Or by email: Paul.Hindmarsh@luzernecounty.org

#### **MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURTS**

Magisterial District Courts, also called special Courts or Courts of limited jurisdiction, constitute the "grass roots" level of Pennsylvania's Court system. For many Pennsylvanians, these are the first, and often the only, Courts they will ever encounter. Luzerne County Magisterial Courts handle over 60,000 cases per year.

Magisterial District Judges preside over Magisterial District Judge Courts.

#### They have the authority to:

- Conduct non-jury trials concerning criminal summary matters not involving delinquent acts as
  defined in 42 Pa.C.S. § 6301 et seq;
- Conduct non-jury trials concerning civil claims (unless the claim is against a Commonwealth
  party as defined by 42 Pa.C.S. § 8501) where the amount in controversy does not exceed
  \$12,000, exclusive of interests and costs, in the following classes of actions:
  - o Landlord-tenant actions
  - o Assumpsit actions unless they involve a contract where the title to real estate may be in question
  - o Trespass actions
  - o Fines and penalties by any Government agency
- Preside over Preliminary Arraignments and Preliminary Hearings
- Fix and accept bail, except in cases involving murder or voluntary manslaughter
- Issue arrest warrants
- Accept guilty pleas to the charges of Driving Under the Influence (75 Pa.C.S.A. § 3802) so long as
  it is a first offense, no personal injury occurred to a third party other than the Defendant's
  immediate family, property damage to any third party is less than \$500 and the Defendant is not
  a juvenile; and
- Preside over non-jury trials involving all offenses under Title 34 (Game)

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

| isterial District Court Number:       | 11-1-01  | Cour   | ıty:   | Luzerne  |   |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| Proposed plan for this magisterial di | strict: Reestablish  |  | 2. Ef  | fective date   | e: 1/1/20   | )22  |
| load Analysis                         |  |  |  |  |   |  |
| í                                     | Avg for Magisterial District   | Av   | g for Jud  | ilcial District  | Avg for (   | Class of County  |
| Average total caseloads:              | A. 6,965   | В.   | 4  | ,668   | C.  | 4,919  |
| Compare the difference between th     | e caseload average o   | f this   | Differe  | ence (3A - 3B)   | Ranking   | Tota   |
| •                                     |  |  |  | 2297   | 1   | out of 16  |
| Compare the difference between the    | e caseload average o   | fthic  | Differe  | nce (3A - 3C)  | % Abo   | ve/Below   |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ·  | 1 1  |  | 2046   |   | 42 %   |
|                                       | Proposed plan for this magisterial distortion distortio | Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish  Pload Analysis  Average total caseloads:  Compare the difference between the caseload average of magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average of Compare the difference between the caseload average of Compare the caseloa | Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish  Pload Analysis  Avg for Magisterial District Avg  Average total caseloads: 6.965 | Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish  2. Effection of the proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish  Average total caseloads:  B.  Difference total caseload average of this caseload aver | Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish  2. Effective date  Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish  2. Effective date  Proposed plan for this magisterial district  Ava for Magisterial District  Ava for Judicial District  Ava for | Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish  2. Effective date: 1/1/20  Pload Analysis  Ava for Magisterial District   Ava for Judicial District   Ava for Outline   Ava for Judicial District   Ava for Outline   Ava for Judicial District   Ava for Outline   Ava for Outline   Ava for Judicial District   Ava fo |

6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

N/A - This Magisterial District has the highest average caseload in the County.

| Workload Ar | nalvsis |
|-------------|---------|
|-------------|---------|

| 7. Average total workloads:                         | Avg for Magisterial District | Avg for Judicial Distric |  |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
|   | A. 65,271                    | в. 38,454                |  |
| 8. Compare the difference between the average total | Difference (7A ~ 7B)         | % Above/Below            |  |
|   | 26,817                       | 70 %                     |  |

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

No changes are proposed for this Magisterial District. While the District's average workload is above average, the creation of Central Court (2018), a sharp decline in non-traffic filings (parking) within the City, and most recently, the consolidation of three City schools into one outside the District's boundaries, it is anticipated that the workload will become more equitable over the next ten year period.



| Magisterial District Information  |                    |                           |  |  |  |
|---|--------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| 10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:   |                    |                           |  |  |  |
| l ·   | 1/23               | 12/31/29                  |  |  |  |
| MagIsterial District Judge Name Birthdate Term  | Expiration Date    | Mandatory Retirement Date |  |  |  |
| 11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:   |                    |                           |  |  |  |
| 100 Hazle Street, 1st Floor<br>Wilkes-Barre, PA. 18702  |                    |                           |  |  |  |
| 12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?  | Ye                 | es                        |  |  |  |
| 13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisteria  | district? Ye       | es                        |  |  |  |
| 14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?  | Ye                 | es                        |  |  |  |
| 15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned develop  |                    | o/Not Sure                |  |  |  |
| such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your response below. |                    |                           |  |  |  |
| 16. List any police departments located within this magisterial dist. Wilkes-Barre City Police Department   | ict.               |                           |  |  |  |
| 17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.   |                    |                           |  |  |  |
| N/A   |                    | ·                         |  |  |  |
| 18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alpha<br>for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.  | abetically). For a | a list, click <u>HERE</u> |  |  |  |
| Wilkes-Barre City (Wards 11-20)   |                    |                           |  |  |  |
|   |                    | •                         |  |  |  |
|   |                    |                           |  |  |  |
|   |                    |                           |  |  |  |
| 19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above?  If NO, please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).   | Ye                 | es                        |  |  |  |
|   |                    |                           |  |  |  |
| •   |                    |                           |  |  |  |
|   |                    |                           |  |  |  |
| 20. Additional Comments:  |                    |                           |  |  |  |
| Zo. Additional Comments:  |                    |                           |  |  |  |
| §   |                    |                           |  |  |  |
|   |                    |                           |  |  |  |
| •   |                    |                           |  |  |  |

#### Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

| isterial District Court Number:                                  | 11-1-02  | Co  | unty:  | nty: Luzerne   |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Proposed plan for this magisterial dis                           | strict: Reestablish  | 1   | 2. Eff   | ective date  | :: 1/1/20  | )22  |
| Caseload Analysis  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Avg for Magisterial District Avg for Judicial District           |  |   |  | Avg for Class of County  |  |  |
| Average total caseloads:   | A. 4,950   | В.  | 4,668  |  | c.   | 4,919  |
| Compare the difference between the                               | e caseload average   | of thi  | Differe  | nce (3A - 3B)  | Ranking  | Total  |
| magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average. |  |   |  | 282  | 7  | out of 16  |
| Compare the difference between the                               | e caselnad average   | of thi  | Differe  | nce (3A - 3C)  | % Abo  | ve/Below   |
| magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.   |  |   |  | 31   |  | .63 %  |
|  | Proposed plan for this magisterial disclosed Analysis  Average total caseloads:  Compare the difference between the magisterial district to your judicial discompare the difference between the compare the difference between the di | Average total caseloads:  Average total caseloads:  Compare the difference between the caseload average magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average Compare the difference between the caseload average | Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish  Pload Analysis  Average total caseloads:  Average total caseload | Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish  2. Effection of the proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish  Average total caseloads:  Average tota | Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish  2. Effective date and the proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish  Avg for Magisterial District | Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish  2. Effective date: 1/1/20  Pload Analysis  Average total caseloads:  Average total caseloa |

6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

N/A - This Magisterial District has the seventh highest average caseload in the County.

#### Workload Analysis

|       |  | Avg for Magisterial District | Avg for Judicial District |
|-------|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 7.    | Average total workloads:   | A. 67,597                    | в. 38,454                 |
| · ′8. | Compare the difference between the average total                 | Difference (7A - 7B)         | % Above/Below             |
|       | workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. | 29,143                       | 76 %                      |

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

No changes are proposed for this Magisterial District. While the District's average workload is above average, the creation of Central Court (2018), a sharp decline in non-traffic filings (parking) within the City, and most recently, the consolidation of three City schools into one outside the District's boundaries, it is anticipated that the workload will become more equitable over the next ten year period.

| Magisterial District Information  |   |                      |                               |  |  |
|---|---|----------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| 10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) informat   | tion:                                     |                      |                               |  |  |
| Thomas F. Malloy, Sr.   |   | 1/2/22               | 12/31/35                      |  |  |
| Magisterial District Judge Name Birt  | thdate                                    | Term Explration Date | Mandatory Retirement Date     |  |  |
| 11. Magisterial District Court Information - P  | hysical Location:                         | :                    |                               |  |  |
| 100 Hazle Street, 2nd Floor - Wilkes-Barre, PA, 18702   |   |                      |                               |  |  |
| 12. Is this court within the boundaries of the  | magisterial dist                          | rict?                | No*                           |  |  |
| 13. Is the MDJ's residence within the bounda  | aries of the magi                         | sterial district?    | Yes _                         |  |  |
| 14. Are all portions of the magisterial district  | contiguous?                               |                      | Yes                           |  |  |
| 15. To the best of your knowledge, are there  |   |                      | No/Not Sure                   |  |  |
| such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your response below. |   |                      |                               |  |  |
| increase in the case mings for this jointee.  | i ii iLb, picase .                        | summarize your re    | sponse below.                 |  |  |
|   |   |                      |                               |  |  |
| 16. List any police departments located with  | in this magisteria                        | al district.         |                               |  |  |
| Wilkes-Barre City Police Department   | _   |                      | 1                             |  |  |
| 17. List any major highways within this magis   | sterial district.                         |                      |                               |  |  |
| Route 309, Route 315  | :   |                      |                               |  |  |
| 18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this ma<br>for Realignment Orders submitted in the   |   | (alphabetically). I  | For a list, click <u>HERE</u> |  |  |
| Wilkes-Barre City (Wards 1-10)  | <u></u>                                   |                      | J.                            |  |  |
|   |   |                      |                               |  |  |
|   | !   |                      |                               |  |  |
|   | •   |                      |                               |  |  |
| 19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same of NO, please list all proposed municipality  |   | lly).                | Yes                           |  |  |
|   |   |                      |                               |  |  |
| :   |   |                      |                               |  |  |
| ·   |   |                      | ·                             |  |  |
|   | ;<br>==================================== |                      | ·                             |  |  |
| 20. Additional Comments:  |   |                      |                               |  |  |
| :<br>61   | t<br>i                                    |                      |                               |  |  |
| !   | •   |                      |                               |  |  |
|   | i ·                                       |                      |                               |  |  |

### Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

| Mag  | gisterial District Court Number:   | 11-1-03                                      | Cour   | nty:       | Luzerne       |           |                 |  |
|--|--|--|--------|------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------|--|
| 1.   | Proposed plan for this magisterial d   | istrict: Reestablis                          | 1      | 2. Eff     | ective date   | : 1/1/20  | )22             |  |
| Case   | eload Analysis   |  |        |            |               |           |                 |  |
|  |  | Avg for Magisterial Distr                    | lct Av | g for Judi | cial District | Avg for ( | Class of County |  |
| 3.   | Average total caseloads:   | A. 6,236 B. 4,668                            |        | C.         | 4,919         |           |                 |  |
| 4.   | Compare the difference between the   | ne caseload average of this Difference (3A - |        |            |               | Ranking   | Total           |  |
|  | magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average. 1568  |  |        |            |               | 4         | out of 16       |  |
| 5.   | Compare the difference between the   | nce (3A - 3C)                                | % Ab   | ove\Below  |               |           |                 |  |
| ٥.   | . Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.  1317 |  |        |            |               |           | 27 %            |  |
| 6.   | If this magisterial district is at the lo<br>reestablish (no changes), please sur<br>you are departing from caseload eq            | nmarize your respo                           |        | _          |               | •         | -               |  |
| N/A - This Magisterial District has the fourth highest average caseload in the County. |  |  |        |            |               |           |                 |  |
|  |  |  |        |            |               |           |                 |  |
|  |  | ·<br>:                                       |        |            |               |           | •               |  |
|  |  | 'n   |        |            |               |           |                 |  |

| Wor | kload | Ana | lysis |
|-----|-------|-----|-------|
|-----|-------|-----|-------|

|  | · ·                      | Avg for MagIsterial District | Avg for Judicial District |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| . 7.   | Average total workloads: | A. 52,393                    | B. 38,454                 |
| 8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. |                          | Difference (7A - 7B)         | % Above/Below             |
|  |                          | 13,939                       | 36 %                      |

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

Workload equity achieved because this is a single municipality District.

| Magisterial Distr                          | ict Information                                 |             |                 |                      |                               |
|--|---|-------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| 10. Magisterial Di                         | strict Judge (MDJ) In                           | formation   | 11.5            |                      |                               |
| Joseph D. Z                                | ola   | :           |                 | 1/4/26               | 12/31/27                      |
| Magisterial Distric                        | ct Judge Name                                   | Birthda     | te              | Term Expiration Date | Mandatory Retirement Date     |
| 11. Magisterial Di                         | strict Court Informat                           | ion - Phys  | ical Location:  | :                    |                               |
| 615 E. Broad Street<br>Hazleton, PA. 18201 |   | •           |                 |                      |                               |
| 12. Is this court w                        | ithin the boundaries                            | of the ma   | agisterial dist | rict?                | Yes                           |
| 13. Is the MDJ's re                        | esidence within the l                           | boundarie   | s of the magi   | sterial district?    | Yes                           |
| 14. Are all portion                        | ns of the magisterial                           | district co | ntiguous?       |                      | Yes                           |
|  | your knowledge, ar                              |             |                 | •                    | No/Not Sure                   |
|  | l, highway expansion<br>e case filings for this |             |                 |                      | sponse below.                 |
|  | _   |             |                 | ,                    | •                             |
|  |   | 4           |                 |                      |                               |
| 16. List any police                        | departments locate                              | d within t  | his magisteria  | al district.         |                               |
| Hazleton City Pol                          | lice Department                                 |             |                 |                      | ı                             |
| 17. List any major                         | highways within thi                             | s magister  | ial district.   | ٠                    |                               |
| Route 309, Rou                             | ute 93  | •           |                 |                      |                               |
|  | nt municipalities for t<br>nt Orders submitted  |             |                 | (alphabetically). I  | For a list, click <u>HERE</u> |
| Hazleton Ci                                | ty  |             |                 |                      |                               |
|  |   |             |                 |                      |                               |
|  |   |             |                 |                      | Ŷ                             |
|  |   | •           | •               |                      |                               |
|  | sed municipalities thist all proposed mun       |             |                 | lly).                | Yes                           |
|  |   |             | ·<br>•          |                      |                               |
|  |   | 1           | •               |                      |                               |
|  |   | •           |                 |                      |                               |
|  |   |             |                 |                      |                               |
| 20. Additional Co                          | mments:   |             |                 |                      |                               |
|  |   |             |                 |                      |                               |
|  |   |             |                 |                      |                               |
|  |   | -           |                 |                      |                               |

## Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

| Mag  | isterial District Court Number:         | 11-1-04                 | Cou       | ınty:      | Luzerne         |           |                 |
|------|---|-------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1.   | Proposed plan for this magisterial di   | strict: Reestablis      | sh        | 2. Ef      | fective date    | e: 1/1/20 | )22             |
| Case | load Analysis                           |                         |           |            |                 |           |                 |
|      |   | Avg for Magisterial Dis | trict A   | vg for Jud | ilcial District | Avg for   | Class of County |
| 3.   | Average total caseloads:                | A. 6,766                | В.        | 4,668      |                 | c.        | 4,919           |
| 4.   | Compare the difference between th       | e caseload averag       | e of this | Differe    | nce (3A - 3B)   | Ranking   | Tota            |
|      | magisterial district to your judicial d | _                       |           |            | 2098            | 2         | out of 16       |
| 5    | Compare the difference between th       | e caseload averag       | e of this | Differe    | ence (3A - 3C)  | % Ab      | ove/Below       |
| ٥.   | magisterial district to your class of c | 1 - 1                   |           |            | 1847            |           | 38 %            |

6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

N/A - This Magisterial District has the second highest average caseload in the County.

| Workload Analysis | ۷ | V | 0 | rk | lo | ad | Ana | lysis |
|-------------------|---|---|---|----|----|----|-----|-------|
|-------------------|---|---|---|----|----|----|-----|-------|

|  |  | Avg for Magisterial District | Avg for Judicial District |  |
|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 7.   | Average total workloads:                         | A. 50,729                    | <sub>В.</sub> 38,454      |  |
| 8.   | Compare the difference between the average total | Difference (7A - 7B)         | % Above/Below             |  |
| workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial distr |  | 12,275                       | 32 %                      |  |

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

While the District workload is higher than average, the creation of Central Court (2018) is anticipated to make the workload more equitable during the next ten year period.

| Magisterial District Information  |  |                      |                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|----------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Inform   | mation:  |                      |                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alexandra C. Kokura Kravitz   |  | 1/4/26               | 12/31/57                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Magisterial District Judge Name   | Birthdate  | Term Expiration Date | Mandatory Retirement Date     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| : 11. Magisterial District Court Information  | - Physical Location:   |                      |                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 Broad Street<br>Pittston, PA. 18640  |  |                      |                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Is this court within the boundaries of  | the magisterial distr  | rict?                | Yes                           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Is the MDJ's residence within the bou   | ndaries of the magi  | sterial district?    | Yes                           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14. Are all portions of the magisterial dist  | trict contiguous?  |                      | Yes                           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15. To the best of your knowledge, are th   |  | •                    | No/Not Sure                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| such as a mall, highway expansion or<br>increase in the case filings for this off       | , ,  | •                    | snanse below.                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | , ann                |                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :   |  |                      | •                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16. List any police departments located w   | vithin this magisteria   | al district.         |                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avoca Borough, Dupont Borough, Duryea Boro  | Avoca Borough, Dupont Borough, Duryea Borough, Jenkins Township, Pittston City, Pittston Township. |                      |                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17. List any major highways within this m   | 17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.                                      |                      |                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I476 Pennsylvania Turnpike, Route 11, Ir  | nterstate 81   |                      |                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this for Realignment Orders submitted in | 1  | (alphabetically). I  | For a list, click <u>HERE</u> |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avoca Borough   | ;<br>. )   | •                    |                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dupont Borough Duryea Borough   | · ;  |                      |                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hughestown Borough  | :  |                      |                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jenkins Township<br>Pittston City   | ł  |                      |                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pittston Township<br>Yatesville Borough   | ,  |                      |                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19. Are the proposed municipalities the s   |  |                      | Yes .                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| If NO, please list all proposed municip   | oalities (alphabetical   | ily).                | ·                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                      |                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·   |  |                      |                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| , <u> </u>  | -  |                      |                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20. Additional Comments:  | - 1  |                      | ~ III 2 - 12                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20. Additional Comments.  | :  | <del></del>          | <del></del>                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | #  |                      |                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | <b>X</b>   |                      |                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | (  |                      |                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

| Proposed plan for the Caseload Analysis   | his magisterial di   | strict: R         | teestablish              |   | 2 Eff.                | aatiya data   | 4/4/00  |            |  |  |  |
|---|--|-------------------|--------------------------|---|-----------------------|---------------|---------|------------|--|--|--|
| Caseload Analysis   |  |                   |                          | 1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish 2. Effective date: 1/1/2022 |                       |               |         |            |  |  |  |
|   |  | Caseload Analysis |                          |   |                       |               |         |            |  |  |  |
|   | Avg for Magisterial District Avg   |                   | vg for Judicial District |   | Avg for Class of Coun |               |         |            |  |  |  |
| 3. Average total caselo   | Average total caseloads:   |                   | 5,183                    | В.  | 4,                    | 668           | C,      | 4,919      |  |  |  |
| 4. Compare the differ   | ence between th  | e caseloa         | :<br>ad average (        | of this   | Differe               | nce (3A - 3B) | Ranking | Total      |  |  |  |
| ·   | <ol> <li>Compare the difference between the caseload average of this<br/>magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.</li> </ol> |                   |                          |   |                       | 515           | 6       | out of 16  |  |  |  |
| 5 Compare the differ  | ence hetween th  | e caseloa         | ad average (             | of this   | Differe               | nce (3A - 3C) | % Abo   | ove'/Below |  |  |  |
| 5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average. |  |                   |                          |   |                       | 5.4%          |         |            |  |  |  |

6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

N/A - This Magisterial District has the sixth highest average caseload in the County.

#### Workload Analysis

| 7. Avera |  | 1                        | Avg for Magisterial District | Avg for Judicial District |
|----------|--|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
|          |  | Average total workloads: | A. 45,972                    | B. 38,454                 |
|          | 8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. |                          | Difference (7A - 7B)         | % Above/Below             |
|          |  |                          | 7,518                        | 20 %                      |

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

It is anticipated that the minor inequity within this Magisterial District will be corrected over the next ten year period because of the creation of Central Court (2018).

| Magisterial District Information  |                                    |
|---|------------------------------------|
| 10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:  James J. Haggerty, Jr. 12/31/23  | 12/31/40                           |
| Magisterial District Judge Name Birthdate Term Expiration   | Date Mandatory Retirement Date     |
| 11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:  500 Wyoming Avenue Kingston, PA. 18704   |                                    |
| 12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?  | Yes                                |
| 13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district  | :? Yes                             |
| 14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?  | Yes                                |
| 15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize you |                                    |
| increase in the case fillings for this office: If FES, please suffilliance ye   | di response below.                 |
|   |                                    |
| 16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.  Edwardsville Borough, Kingston Borough   |                                    |
| 17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.   |                                    |
| Route 11, Route 309   |                                    |
| 18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetical for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.  | ly). For a list, click <u>HERE</u> |
| Edwardsville Borough<br>Kingston Borough  |                                    |
|   |                                    |
| 19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above?  If <b>NO</b> , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).   | Yes                                |
|   |                                    |
|   |                                    |
|   |                                    |
| 20 Additional Comments  |                                    |
| 20. Additional Comments:  |                                    |
|   |                                    |
|   |                                    |
|   |                                    |

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

| Mag   | isterial District Court Number:   | 11-1-06   | Cou     | nty:                      | Luzerne       | ,                       |            |  |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|---------|---------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|------------|--|
| 1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish 2. Effective date: 1/1/2022 |                                   |   |         |                           |               |                         |            |  |
| Caseload Analysis   |                                   |   |         |                           |               |                         |            |  |
|   |                                   | Avg for Magisterial Distri                                      | ct Av   | Avg for Judicial District |               | Avg for Class of County |            |  |
| 3.  | Average total caseloads:          | A. 3,307  | В.      |                           | ,668          | c.                      | 4,919      |  |
| 4.  | Compare the difference between th | e caseload average  | of this | Differe                   | nce (3A - 3B) | Ranking                 | Total      |  |
|   |                                   | agisterial district to your judicial district caseload average. |         |                           |               | 13                      | out of 16  |  |
| 5   | Compare the difference between th | e caseload average  | of this | Differe                   | nce (3A - 3C) | % Ab                    | ove/Below! |  |
| magisterial district to your class of county caseload average1612                       |                                   |   |         |                           |               | 33 %                    |            |  |
| 5.  | Compare the difference between th | e caseload average  | of this | Dlffere                   |               |                         | ove/Be     |  |

6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

No changes are proposed for this Magisterial District. The caseload has remained relatively stable.

#### **Workload Analysis**

|      | 16   | Avg for Magisterial District | Avg for Judicial District |  |
|------|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 7.   | Average total workloads:   | A. 28,438                    | B. 38,454                 |  |
| 8.   | Compare the difference between the average total                 | Difference (7A - 7B)         | % Above/Below             |  |
| , o, | workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. | -10,016                      | 26 %                      |  |

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

While there is a moderate difference in workload compared to other Districts, the Magisterial District Judge's did not recommend changes to the makeup of the current District.

| Magisterial District Information  | on i               |                 |  | -                             |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 10. Magisterial District Judge (MD  | J) Information     | n:              |  |                               |
| David A. Barilla  |                    |                 | 12/31/23   | 12/31/33                      |
| Magisterial District Judge Name   | Birthde            | ate             | Term Expiration Date   | Mandatory Retirement Date     |
| 11. Magisteriał District Court Info   | rmation - Phy      | sical Locatio   | n:   |                               |
| 2009 Wyoming Avenue<br>Forty Fort, PA. 18704  | r<br>In            |                 |  |                               |
| 12. Is this court within the bound  | aries of the m     | agisterial di   | strict?  | Yes                           |
| 13. Is the MDJ's residence within   | the boundarie      | es of the ma    | gisterial district?  | Yes                           |
| 14. Are all portions of the magiste   | erial district co  | ontiguous?      |  | Yes                           |
| 15. To the best of your knowledge   |                    |                 | The state of the s | No/Not Sure                   |
| such as a mall, highway expar increase in the case filings for                      |                    | _               | •  | sponse below                  |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,   |                    | , 120) picas    | , barrinarizo your ro  | spende relevit                |
|   |                    |                 |  |                               |
| 16. List any police departments lo  | cated within       | this magiste    | rial district.   |                               |
| Courtdale Borough, Forty Fort Boroug  | gh, Larksville Bor | ough, Luzerne i | Borough, Pringle Borough   | , Swoyersville Borough        |
| 17. List any major highways withi   | n this magiste     | rial district.  |  |                               |
| Route 11, Route 309   | 6.7<br>5. *<br>a   | ·<br>-          |  | П                             |
| 18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities<br>for Realignment Orders subm           | =                  |                 | ct (alphabetically).  F  | For a list, click <u>HERE</u> |
| Courtdale Borough   |                    | 1               |  | <u>.</u> 8                    |
| Forty Fort Borough  |                    | 1               |  | •                             |
| Larksville Borough<br>Luzerne Borough   |                    |                 |  |                               |
| Pringle Borough   | •                  |                 |  |                               |
| Swoyersville Borough  |                    |                 |  |                               |
| 19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipaliti<br>If <b>NO</b> , please list all proposed |                    |                 | ally).   | Yes                           |
| 71  | <u>,</u>           |                 | ,,,,   |                               |
| <u>.</u>  | •                  | !               |  |                               |
|   |                    | i               |  |                               |
|   |                    |                 |  |                               |
| 20. Additional Comments:  |                    | i)              |  |                               |
| 201 Additional Comments.  | · ·                |                 |  |                               |
|   |                    | :               |  | •                             |
|   |                    |                 | -  |                               |
|   |                    |                 |  |                               |

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

| Mag  | sisterial District Court Number:        | 11-2-01                                      | Cou     | nty:                     | Luzerne       |                        |            |  |
|--|---|--|---------|--------------------------|---------------|------------------------|------------|--|
| 1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish 2. Effective date: 1/1/2022  |   |  |         |                          |               |                        |            |  |
| Caseload Analysis  |   |  |         |                          |               |                        |            |  |
| <i>y</i>   | 1                                       | Avg for Magisterial District Avg A. 2,466 B. |         | vg for Judicial District |               | Avg for Class of Count |            |  |
| 3.   | Average total caseloads:                |  |         | 4,668                    |               | c.                     | 4,919      |  |
| 4.   | Compare the difference between th       | e caseload average                           | of this | Differe                  | nce (3A - 3B) | Ranking                | Total      |  |
| :  | magisterial district to your judicial o |  |         |                          | 2202          | 16                     | out of 16  |  |
| 5  | Compare the difference between th       | e caselnad average                           | of this | Differe                  | nce (3A - 3C) | % Abo                  | ove/Below, |  |
| <ol><li>Compare the difference between the caseload average of this<br/>magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.</li></ol> |   |  |         |                          | 2453          |                        | 50 %       |  |

6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

No changes are proposed for this Magisterial District. Caseload has remained relatively consistent. The creation of a regional police force, consisting of the Departments already covered by the District, is actively being formed and may have a future impact on caseload.

#### Workload Analysis

|      | 1  | Avg for Magisterial District | Avg for Judicial District |
|------|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| · 7. | Average total workloads:   | A. 23,212                    | B. 38,454                 |
| Q    | Compare the difference between the average total                 | Difference (7A - 7B)         | % Above/Below             |
| 6.   | workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. | -15,242                      | 40 %                      |

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

While the workload is below other Districts, the sitting Magisterial District Judge also handles most recusals, as well as provides a supervisory role in the County's Diversionary Court's program/operation. The Magisterial District Judge's did not recommend changes to the District makeup.



| Magi  | sterial District Information   | ij           | :<br>*                                  |                       | ·   |
|-------|--|--------------|---|-----------------------|---|
| 10.   | Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Ir  | formation:   | V — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — |                       |   |
|       | Joseph J. Carmody  |              |   | 1/2/22                | 12/31/25  |
|       | MagIsterial District Judge Name  | : Birthdat   |   | Term Expiration Date  | Mandatory Retirement Date                       |
| 11.   | Magisterial District Court Informa 656 Exeter Avenue                           | tion - Physi | cal Location:                           |                       |   |
|       | West Pittston, PA. 18643   |              |   |                       |   |
| 12.   | ls this court within the boundarie   | s of the ma  | gisterial distr                         | ict?                  | Yes   |
| 13.   | Is the MDJ's residence within the  | boundaries   | of the magis                            | sterial district?     | Yes   |
| 14.   | Are all portions of the magisterial  | district cor | ntiguous?                               |                       | Yes   |
| 15.   | To the best of your knowledge, ar  |              | 7                                       | ,                     | No/Not Sure                                     |
|       | such as a mall, highway expansion increase in the case filings for this        |              | i                                       |                       | sponse below.                                   |
|       |  | ;            | į.                                      |                       |   |
|       |  |              |   |                       |   |
| 16.   | List any police departments locate   |              |   |                       |   |
|       | Exeter Borough, Exeter Township, West F  |              |   | ng Borough, Wyoming I | Borough<br>———————————————————————————————————— |
| 17.   | List any major highways within th  | is magisteri | ial district.                           |                       |   |
|       | Route 11, Route 92   |              | ·                                       | ·                     |   |
|       | List the <u>current</u> municipalities for<br>for Realignment Orders submitted | _            |   | (alphabetically). F   | or a list, click <u>HERE</u>                    |
|       | Exeter Borough   |              |   |                       | 1   |
|       | Exeter Township  |              | :                                       |                       |   |
|       | West Pittston Borough West Wyoming Borough                                     | :            | <u> </u>                                |                       |   |
|       | Wyoming Borough  | :            | )<br>1                                  |                       |   |
|       | Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities t<br>If NO, please list all proposed mu |              | •                                       | ly).                  | Yes   |
|       | •  |              | •                                       |                       |   |
|       |  |              | •                                       |                       |   |
|       | ·  |              |   |                       |   |
|       |  |              | ·                                       |                       |   |
| 20. A | dditional Comments:  |              | 82 = -                                  |                       |   |
|       |  |              |   |                       |   |
|       | •  |              | :<br>?                                  | *                     |   |
|       |  |              |   |                       |   |

#### Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the filiable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

| Magisterial District Court Number:  | 11-2-03                     | Cour    | ity:       | Luzerne       |           |                 |
|---|-----------------------------|---------|------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish     2. Effective date  |                             |         |            |               | :: 1/1/20 | )22             |
| Caseload Analysis   | }                           |         |            |               |           |                 |
|   | Avg for Magisterial Distric | t Av    | g for Judi | cial District | Avg for ( | Class of County |
| 3. Average total caseloads:   | A. 4,472                    | B,      | B. 4,66    |               | c.        | 4,919           |
| 4. Compare the difference between th  | e caseload average o        | of this | Differer   | nce (3A - 3B) | Ranking   | Total           |
| magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.  |                             | - 1     | •          | -196          | 10        | out of 16       |
| 5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.  Difference (3A - 3C)  -447 |                             | % Abo   | ve/Below:  |               |           |                 |
|   |                             |         | -447       |               | 9.2 %     |                 |
|   |                             |         |            |               |           |                 |

6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

Filings within this District remain relatively consistent. Recent development projects are likely to result in an increase in traffic filings, resulting in higher caseload averages.

## **Workload Analysis**

|   |  | Avg for Magisterial District | Avg for Judicial District |
|---|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 7.  | Average total workloads:   | A. 29,622                    | B. 38,454                 |
| 8. Compare the difference between the average total |  | Difference (7A - 7B)         | % Above/Below:            |
| ·   | workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. | -8,832                       | 23 %                      |

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

Highway and development of commerce locations (warehouse/distribution centers), as well as the relocation of the regional PSP (Troop P) is anticipated to increase traffic filings within this District over the next ten years.



| Magisterial District Information   |                               |
|--|-------------------------------|
| 10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:  |                               |
| Joseph A. Halesey 12/31/23   | 12/31/33                      |
| Magisterial District Judge Name : Birthdate Term Expiration Date   | Mandatory Retirement Date     |
| 11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:  |                               |
| 1460 San Souci Parkway<br>Hanover Township, PA. 18706  |                               |
| 12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?   | Yes                           |
| 13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?  | Yes                           |
| 14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?   | Yes                           |
| 15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments<br>such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an<br>increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your re | No/Not Sure                   |
|  |                               |
| 16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.  Ashley Borough, Hanover Township, Sugar Notch Borough, PSP Wilkes-Barre   |                               |
| 17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.  |                               |
| Route 29, Route 309, Interstate 81   |                               |
| 18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.   | For a list, click <u>HERE</u> |
| Ashley Borough   |                               |
| Hanover Township   | . 1                           |
| Sugar Notch Borough  |                               |
| Warrior Run Borough  |                               |
| 19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above?   | Yes                           |
| If NO, please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).   |                               |
|  |                               |
|  |                               |
|  |                               |
|  |                               |
| 20. Additional Comments:   |                               |
|  |                               |
|  |                               |
| •  |                               |
|  |                               |

#### Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

| Mág  | gisterial District Court Number:        | 11-3-01                                   | Cou    | nty:        | Luzerne       |         |                 |
|--|---|---|--------|-------------|---------------|---------|-----------------|
| Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish   |   |   | 2. Eff | ective date | :: 1/1/20     | )22     |                 |
| Case   | eload Analysis                          |   |        |             |               |         |                 |
| :  |   | Avg for Magisterial Distri                | ct A   | g for Judi  | cial District | Avg for | Class of County |
| 3.   | Average total caseloads:                | A. 2,776                                  | B.     | 4,          | 668           | C.      | 4,919           |
| 4.   | Compare the difference between th       | ne caseload average of this               |        | Differer    | nce (3A - 3B) | Ranking | Total           |
|  | magisterial district to your judicial d | -   |        | -18         | 392.33        | 15      | out of 16       |
| 5  | Compare the difference between th       | ance between the caseland average of this |        | Differen    | nce (3A - 3C) | % Ab    | ove/Below,      |
| <ol><li>Compare the difference between the caseload average of this<br/>magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.</li></ol> |   | -21                                       | 143.33 |             | 44 %          |         |                 |
|  |   |   |        | -           |               |         |                 |

6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

No changes are proposed for this Magisterial District. The geographic makeup of this Magisterial District and it's location within the Eleventh Industrial District would create unnecessary obstacles for constituents residing within it's boundaries.

| Workload Analysis  |                              |                             |  |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
|  | Avg for Magisterial District | t Avg for Judicial District |  |
| 7. Average total workloads:                                      | A. 19,692                    | B. 38,454                   |  |
| 8. Compare the difference between the average total              | Difference (7A - 7B)         | % Above/Below.              |  |
| workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. | -18,762                      | 49 %                        |  |

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

This Magisterial District has expansive geography and mountainous topography and contains several small towns and Boroughs. It is significant to note there has been an increase within this District with Traffic filings.

| Magisterial District Information  |                |                              |  |  |  |
|---|----------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information  |                |                              |  |  |  |
| Matthew C. Christopher 12/3   | 1/23           | 12/31/47                     |  |  |  |
| Magisterial District Judge Name Birthdate Term E  | xpiration Date | Mandatory Retirement Date    |  |  |  |
| 11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:   |                |                              |  |  |  |
| 33 W. Union Street<br>Shickshinny, PA. 18655  |                |                              |  |  |  |
| 12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?  |                | Yes                          |  |  |  |
| 13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial   | district?      | Yes                          |  |  |  |
| 14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?  |                | Yes                          |  |  |  |
| 15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned develop  |                | No/Not Sure                  |  |  |  |
| such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summa   |                | sponse below.                |  |  |  |
| 16. List any police departments located within this magisterial distr<br>Nescopeck Borough, Salem Township, PSP Shickshinny   | ict.           |                              |  |  |  |
| 17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.   |                |                              |  |  |  |
| Route 239, Route 11, Route 93, Route 118, Route 339, Route 437  |                | ·                            |  |  |  |
| 18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alpha for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.   | betically). F  | or a list, click <u>HERE</u> |  |  |  |
| Conyngham Township, Fairmount Township, Hollenback Township, Hunlock Township, Huntingdon Township, Nescopeck Borough, Nescopeck Township, New Columbus Borough, Ross Township, Salem Township, Shickshinny Borough, Union Township |                |                              |  |  |  |
| 19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above?  If NO, please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).   |                | Yes                          |  |  |  |
| 20. Additional Comments:  |                |                              |  |  |  |
| 20. Additional Comments:  |                |                              |  |  |  |
|   |                |                              |  |  |  |
|   |                |                              |  |  |  |
| å .   |                |                              |  |  |  |

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

| Mag  | isterial District Court Number:    | 11-3-02  | Cou     | nty:       | Luzerne       |         |                 |
|--|------------------------------------|--|---------|------------|---------------|---------|-----------------|
| Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish     2. Effective date:  |                                    |  |         |            | : 1/1/20      | 022     |                 |
| Case   | load Analysis                      |  |         |            |               |         |                 |
|  |                                    | Avg for Magisterial Disti                              | ict A   | g for Judi | cial District | Avg for | Class of County |
| 3.   | Average total caseloads:           | A. ; 4,498   | В.      | 4          | 668           | C.      | 4,919           |
| 4.   | Compare the difference between th  | e caseload average                                     | of this | Differe    | nce (3A - 3B) | Ranking | Total           |
|  |                                    | district to your judicial district caseload average.   |         |            | -170          | 9       | out of 16       |
| 5.   | Compare the difference between the | re the difference between the caseload average of this |         | Differe    | nce (3A - 3C) | % Ab    | ove/Below       |
| <ol> <li>Compare the difference between the caseload average of this<br/>magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.</li> </ol> |                                    |  | -421    |            | 8.6%          |         |                 |
|  |                                    |  |         |            |               |         |                 |

6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

No changes are proposed for this Magisterial District because the District's average caseload is within the acceptable parameters.

### **Workload Analysis**

|  |                              | 1            | , a         | Avg for Magisterial District | Avg for Judicial District |
|--|------------------------------|--------------|-------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 7.   | Average total workloads:     | }<br><br>:/i | î<br>       | A. 43,401                    | B. 38,454                 |
| 8.   | Compare the difference betwe | en the av    | erage total | Difference (7A - 7B)         | % Above/Below             |
| workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. |                              | 4,947.       | 13 %        |                              |                           |

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

No changes are proposed for the Magisterial District because the District's average workload is within the acceptable parameters.

| Magisterial District Information   | -              |                | *                    |                               |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| 10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) i   | nformation:    |                |                      |                               |
| Donald L. Whittaker  |                |                | 12/31/23             | 12/31/34                      |
| Magisterial District Judge Name  | Birthdat       | e              | Term Expiration Date | Mandatory Retirement Date     |
| 11. Magisterial District Court Informa   | ation - Physi  | cal Location:  |                      |                               |
| 15 E. Ridge Street<br>Nanticoke, PA. 18634                                       |                |                |                      |                               |
| 12. Is this court within the boundarie   | es of the ma   | gisterial dist | rict?                | Yes                           |
| 13. Is the MDJ's residence within the  | boundaries     | of the magi    | sterial district?    | Yes                           |
| 14. Are all portions of the magisteria   | l district cor | ntiguous?      |                      | Yes                           |
| 15. To the best of your knowledge, a   | re there any   | y planned de   | velopments           | No/Not Sure                   |
| such as a mall, highway expansic<br>increase in the case filings for th          | _              | _              | •                    | esponse below.                |
| <sup>1</sup> 16. List any police departments locat                               | ted within th  | nis magisteri  | al district.         |                               |
| Nanticoke City, Newport Township, Plym   | outh Borough   |                |                      |                               |
| 17. List any major highways within th  | nis magister   | ial district.  |                      |                               |
| Route 11   | •              | •              |                      | •                             |
| 18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for for Realignment Orders submitte   |                |                | (alphabetically).    | For a list, click <u>HERE</u> |
| Nanticoke City   | i.e.           | 74             |                      |                               |
| Newport Township   | ŧ              |                |                      |                               |
| Plymouth Borough   |                |                |                      |                               |
| Plymouth Township  |                |                |                      |                               |
| 19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities<br>If NO, please list all proposed mu |                |                | lly).                | Yes                           |
|  |                |                |                      |                               |
|  | :              | ï              |                      |                               |
|  |                |                |                      |                               |
|  |                | <br>-:         |                      |                               |
| 20. Additional Comments:   | y.             |                |                      |                               |
| •  | -              |                |                      |                               |
|  |                |                |                      |                               |
|  |                |                |                      |                               |

#### Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

| Mag  | isterial District Court Number:         | 11-3-03                    | Cou     | nty:      | Luzerne        |          |                 |
|------|---|----------------------------|---------|-----------|----------------|----------|-----------------|
| 1.   | Proposed plan for this magisterial di   | strict: Reestablish        |         | 2. Eff    | ective date    | : 1/1/20 | 022             |
| Case | eload Analysis                          |                            |         |           |                |          |                 |
|      |   | Avg for Magisterial Distri | ct Av   | g for Jud | icial District | Avg for  | Class of County |
| 3.   | Average total caseloads:                | A. 5,229                   | B,      | 4         | ,668           | c        | 4,919           |
| 4.   | Compare the difference between th       | e caseload average         | of this | Differe   | nce (3A - 3B)  | Ranking  | Tota            |
|      | magisterial district to your judicial o | _                          |         |           | 561            | 5        | out of 16       |
| ´ 5  | Compare the difference between th       | e caseload average         | of this | Differe   | nce (3A - 3C)  | % Ab     | ove/Below       |
| J.   | magisterial district to your class of c | - 1                        |         |           | 310            |          | 6.3 %           |

6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

No changes are proposed for this Magisterial District because the District's average caseload is within the acceptable parameters.

#### **Workload Analysis**

|           |  | Avg for Magisterial District | Avg for Judicial District |  |
|-----------|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| <b>7.</b> | Average total workloads:   | A. 33,874                    | в. 38,454                 |  |
| 8.        | Compare the difference between the average total                 | Difference (7A - 7B)         | % Above/Below.            |  |
|           | workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. | -4,580                       | 12 %                      |  |

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

No changes are proposed for this Magisterial District because the District's average workload is within the acceptable parameters.



| Magisterial District Information   |                                     |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| 10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:  Daniel O'Donnell  Magisterial District Judge Name  Birthdate  Term Expiration Date  | 12/31/43  Mandatory Retirement Date |
| 11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:  415 W. Buller Drive Drums, PA. 18222  | ·                                   |
| 12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?   | Yes                                 |
| 13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?  | Yes                                 |
| 14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?   | Yes                                 |
| 15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your re | No/Not Sure                         |
| ν <sub>ε</sub>   |                                     |
| 16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.  Butler Township, Freeland Borough, Conyngham Borough, Sugarloaf Township  |                                     |
| 17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.  Route 93, Route 309, Route 420, Route 940, Interstate 80, Interstate 81   |                                     |
| 18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). If for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.  | For a list, click <u>HERE</u>       |
| Black Creek Township Butler Township Conyngham Borough Foster Township Freeland Borough Sugarloaf Township   |                                     |
| 19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above?  If NO, please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).  | Yes                                 |
|  |                                     |
| 20. Additional Comments:   |                                     |
|  |                                     |

### Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

| Mag  | isterial District Court Number:         | 11-3-04                   | Cou     | nty:       | Luzerne       |           |                 |
|------|---|---------------------------|---------|------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1.   | Proposed plan for this magisterial di   | strict: Reestablish       | า       | 2. Eff     | ective date   | : 1/1/20  | )22             |
| Case | eload Analysis                          | ,                         |         |            |               |           |                 |
|      |   | Avg for Magisterial Distr | ict Av  | g for Judi | cial District | Avg for ( | Class of County |
| 3.   | Average total caseloads:                | A. 6,354                  | В.      | 4          | ,668          | C.        | 4,919           |
| 4.   | Compare the difference between th       | e caseload average        | of this | Differe    | nce (3A - 3B) | Ranking   | Tota            |
|      | magisterial district to your judicial o | _                         |         |            | 1686          | 3         | out of 16       |
| 5    | Compare the difference between th       | e caseload average        | of this | Differe    | nce (3A - 3C) | % Abo     | ove/Below       |
| ٥.   | magisterial district to your class of c | caseload average of this  |         |            | 1435          |           | 29 %            |
|      |   |                           |         |            |               |           |                 |

6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

N/A - This Magisterial District has the third highest average caseload in the County.

#### **Workload Analysis**

|  | Avg for Magisterial District | Avg for Judicial District |  |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 7. Average total workloads:                                      | A. 43,557                    | B. 38,454                 |  |
| 8. Compare the difference between the average total              | Difference (7A - 7B)         | % Above/Below             |  |
| workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. | 5,103                        | 13 %                      |  |

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

No changes are proposed for this Magisterial District because the District's average workload is within the acceptable parameters.

| Magisterial District Information  |                        |                        |                               |  |  |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| 10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) In   | formation:             |                        | 3                             |  |  |
| James M. Dixon  |                        | 12/31/23               | 12/31/50                      |  |  |
| Magisterial District Judge Name   | Birthdate <sup>*</sup> | Term Expiration Date   | Mandatory Retirement Date     |  |  |
| 11. Magisterial District Court Informat 789 Airport Road Hazle Township, PA. 18202  | ion - Physical Locati  | on:                    |                               |  |  |
| 12. Is this court within the boundaries   | of the magisterial of  | listrict?              | Yes                           |  |  |
| 13. Is the MDJ's residence within the   | ooundaries of the m    | agisterial district?   | Yes                           |  |  |
| 14. Are all portions of the magisterial   | district contiguous?   |                        | Yes                           |  |  |
| 15. To the best of your knowledge, are  | e there any planned    | developments           | No/Not Sure                   |  |  |
| such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your response below. |                        |                        |                               |  |  |
| 16. List any police departments locate  | ed within this magist  | erial district.        |                               |  |  |
| Hazleton PSP, West Hazleton Borough   | 1<br>1                 | ٠                      |                               |  |  |
| 17. List any major highways within thi  | s magisterial district | <u> </u>               |                               |  |  |
| Route 93, Route 309, Route 924, Rou   | ite 940, Interstate 81 |                        |                               |  |  |
| 18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for for Realignment Orders submitted   | _                      | rict (alphabetically). | For a list, click <u>HERE</u> |  |  |
| Hazle Township  | :                      |                        |                               |  |  |
| Jeddo Borough   | •                      |                        |                               |  |  |
| West Hazleton Borough   |                        | •                      | -                             |  |  |
|   |                        |                        |                               |  |  |
| 19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the lift NO, please list all proposed mun  |                        | tically).              | Yes                           |  |  |
|   | ii ;                   |                        |                               |  |  |
|   |                        |                        |                               |  |  |
|   |                        |                        |                               |  |  |
|   | <u> </u>               |                        |                               |  |  |
| 20. Additional Comments:  | ! ·                    |                        |                               |  |  |
|   | •                      |                        |                               |  |  |
|   |                        |                        |                               |  |  |
|   |                        |                        |                               |  |  |

#### Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the filiable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

| Mag  | isterial District Court Number:                                  | 11-3-06                   | Cou  | nty:    | Luzerne                 |         |           |
|--|--|---------------------------|--|---------|-------------------------|---------|-----------|
| 1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish 2. Effective date  |  |                           |  |         | : 1/1/20                | 022     |           |
| Caseload Analysis  |  |                           |  |         |                         |         |           |
| 1  |  | Avg for Magisterial Distr | r Magisterial District Avg for Judicial District |         | Avg for Class of County |         |           |
| 3.   | Average total caseloads:   | A. 4,352                  | В.   | 4       | ,668                    | C.      | 4,919     |
| 4.   | Compare the difference between th                                | e caseload average        | of this  | Differe | nce (3A - 3B)           | Ranking | Total     |
|  | magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average. |                           |  |         | -316                    | 11      | out of 16 |
| 5  | Compare the difference between th                                | o casoload average        | of this  | Differe | nce (3A - 3C)           | % Ab    | ove/Below |
| <ol><li>Compare the difference between the caseload average of this<br/>magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.</li></ol> |  |                           | -567   |         | 12 %                    |         |           |

6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

No changes are proposed for this Magisterial District. Recent developments in the Industrial Park has caused an increase in traffic filings. PSP enforcement activities in roadways with the District continue to be present.

| Workload Analysis                                |  |          |
|--|--|----------|
|  | Avg for Magisterial District  Avg for Judicial L | Olstrict |
| 7. Average total workloads:                      | A. 21,580 B. 38,45                               | 4        |
| 8. Compare the difference between the average to | al Difference (7A - 7B) % Above/Belo             | )W       |

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

Additional growth and development will likely increase Traffic filings over the next ten year period, resulting in a more equitable workload.

-16,874

workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.

44 %

| Magisterial District Information   |                                       |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| 10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:  Ferris P. Webby, Sr.  MagIsterial District Judge Name  Birthdate  Term Expiration Date  | 12/31/29<br>Mandatory Retirement Date |
| 11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location: 321 S. Mountain Boulevard Mountain Top, PA. 18707  |                                       |
| 12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?   | Yes                                   |
| 13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?  | Yes                                   |
| 14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?   | Yes                                   |
| 15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments  | No/Not Sure                           |
| such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your re   | esponse below.                        |
|  |                                       |
| <ul> <li>16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district. Fairview Township, Rice Township, White Haven Borough, Wright Township</li> <li>17. List any major highways within this magisterial district. Route 309, Route 437, Interstate 81, portion of I476 Pennsylvania Turnpike</li> </ul> |                                       |
| 18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically).  for Realignment Orders submitted in the past:  | For a list, click <u>HERE</u>         |
| Dennison Township Dorrance Township Fairview Township Nuangola Borough Penn Lake Park Borough Rice Township Stocum Township White Haven Borough Wright Township  |                                       |
| 19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above?  If NO, please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).  | Yes                                   |
|  | ·                                     |
| 20. Additional Comments:   |                                       |
|  |                                       |
|  |                                       |

### Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

| Mag   | gisterial District Court Number:                               | 11-3-07                    | Cou     | nty:       | Luzerne        |         |                 |
|---|--|----------------------------|---------|------------|----------------|---------|-----------------|
| 1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish 2. Effective date: 1/1/2022 |  |                            |         |            | )22            |         |                 |
| Case  | eload Analysis   | 1                          |         |            |                |         |                 |
|   | ;  | Avg for Magisterial Distri | ct Av   | g for Judi | icial District | Avg for | Class of County |
| 3.  | Average total caseloads:                                       | a. 3,695                   | B.      | 4          | ,668           | c.      | 4,919           |
| 4.  | Compare the difference between th                              | e caseload average         | of this | Differe    | nce (3A - 3B)  | Ranking | Total           |
|   | magisterial district to your judicial o                        | _                          |         |            | -973           | 12      | out of 16       |
| 5   | Compare the difference between th                              | e caseload average         | of this | Differe    | nce (3A - 3C)  | % Ab    | ove/Below;      |
| ٥,  | magisterial district to your class of county caseload average. |                            |         | -          | 1224           |         | 25 %            |
| 5,  |  | ounty caseload aver        |         |            |                | 78718   |                 |

6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

No changes are proposed for this Magisterial District. This District is the largest in total square miles, and is more rural in nature.

#### **Workload Analysis**

|                             |  | Avg for Magisterial District | Avg for Judicial District |
|-----------------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 7. Average total workloads: |  | A. 29,401                    | B. 38,454                 |
| 8.                          | Compare the difference between the average total                 | Difference (7A - 7B)         | % Above/Below;            |
| O.                          | workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. | -9,053                       | 24 %                      |

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

Increase in Traffic enforcement along the I81 corridor is expected to result in additional filings, creating a more equitable workload.

| Magisterial District Information 🖰  |                     |                          |                               |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) In   | formation:          |                          |                               |
| Michael G. Dotzel   |                     | 1/4/26                   | 12/31/39                      |
| Magisterial District Judge Name   | Birthdate           | Term Expiration Date     | Mandatory Retirement Da       |
| 11. Magisterial District Court Informat<br>240 Johnson Street<br>Wilkes-Barre Township, PA. 18702                 | ion - Physical Loca | ition:                   |                               |
| 12. Is this court within the boundaries   | of the magisteria   | district?                | Yes                           |
| 13. Is the MDJ's residence within the   | oundaries of the    | magisterial district?    | Yes                           |
| 14. Are all portions of the magisterial   | district contiguous | s?                       | Yes                           |
| 15. To the best of your knowledge, are  | there any planne    | ed developments          | No/Not Sure                   |
| such as a mall, highway expansion increase in the case filings for this   | - , -               |                          | esponse below.                |
| •   | (                   |                          |                               |
| 16. List any police departments locate Wilkes-Barre Township  | d within this magi  | sterial district.        |                               |
| 17. List any major highways within thi  | s magisterial distr | ict.                     |                               |
| I476 Pennsylvania Turnpike, Route 1   | _                   |                          | •                             |
| 18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for for Realignment Orders submitted                                   | <del>-</del>        | strict (alphabetically). | For a list, click <u>HERE</u> |
| Bear Creek Township<br>Bear Creek Village Borough<br>Buck Township<br>Laurel Run Borough<br>Wilkes-Barre Township | ;<br>;<br>;         |                          |                               |
| 19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the lf NO, please list all proposed mun                                |                     |                          | Yes                           |
|   |                     |                          |                               |
| 20. Additional Comments:  |                     |                          |                               |
| · ·   |                     |                          |                               |
|   |                     |                          |                               |
| •   | •                   |                          |                               |

#### Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

| Mag   | isterial District Court Number:    | 11-3-08                   | Cou     | nty:               | Luzerne        |           |                 |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|--------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish     2. Effective dat   |                                    |                           |         |                    | ective date    | : 1/1/20  | 22              |
| Caseload Analysis   |                                    |                           |         |                    |                |           |                 |
|   |                                    | Avg for Magisterial Distr | ict A   | v <b>g</b> for Jud | icial District | Avg for ( | Class of County |
| 3.  | Average total caseloads:           | A. 2,850                  | B.      | 4                  | ,668           | C.        | 4,919           |
| 4   | Compare the difference between the | e caseload average        | of this | Differe            | nce (3A - 3B)  | Ranking   | Total           |
| magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average1818 14  |                                    |                           |         | 14                 | out of 16      |           |                 |
| 5   | Compare the difference between the | e caseload average        | of this | Differe            | nce (3A - 3C)  | % Abo     | ove/Below)      |
| 5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average. |                                    |                           |         | 42 %               |                |           |                 |

6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.

No changes are proposed for this Magisterial District. The recent consolidation of three Wilkes-Barre City Schools and expansion of the Casino lodging is anticipated to create additional Non-Traffic filings.

#### **Workload Analysis**

| 1  |  | Avg for Magisterial District | Avg for Judicial District |
|----|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 7. | Average total workloads:   | A. 24,933                    | B. 38,454                 |
| Я  | Compare the difference between the average total                 | Difference (7A - 7B)         | % Above/Below             |
| 0. | workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. | -13,521                      | 35 %                      |

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

The consolidated Wilkes-Barre School District is expected to increase the number of filings, creating a more equitable distribution for this District.

| Magisterial District Information   |                  |                      |                              |
|--|------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| 10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information   | on:              |                      |                              |
| Joseph D. Spagnuolo, Jr.   | •                | 1/2/22               | 12/31/52                     |
| Magisterial District Judge Name Birtho   | late             | Term Expiration Date | Mandatory Retirement Date    |
| 11. Magisterial District Court Information – Phy<br>90 Maffett Street<br>Plains, PA. 18705         | sical Location   | :                    |                              |
| 12. Is this court within the boundaries of the n   | nagisterial dist | rict?                | Yes                          |
| 13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundar  | ies of the magi  | isterial district?   | Yes                          |
| 14. Are all portions of the magisterial district of  | ontiguous?       |                      | Yes                          |
| 15. To the best of your knowledge, are there a   |                  | -                    | Yes                          |
| such as a mall, highway expansion or gas on increase in the case filings for this office?          | -                |                      | sponse below.                |
| Consolidation of 3 Wilkes-Barre City S   | chools.          |                      |                              |
| 16. List any police departments located within   | this magisteri   | al district.         |                              |
| Plains Township  |                  |                      |                              |
| 17. List any major highways within this magist   | erial district.  |                      |                              |
| I476 Pennsylvania Turnpike, Route 115, Route   | 309, Route 315   | 5, Interstate 81     |                              |
| 18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this mag for Realignment Orders submitted in the p  |                  | : (alphabetically).  | or a list, click <u>HERE</u> |
| Laflin Borough   |                  |                      |                              |
| Plains Township  | !                |                      |                              |
|  |                  | ·                    |                              |
|  |                  |                      |                              |
| 19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same If NO, please list all proposed municipalities |                  | illy).               | Yes                          |
|  |                  |                      |                              |
|  |                  |                      |                              |
| •  |                  |                      |                              |
| 20. Additional Comments:   |                  |                      |                              |
|  |                  |                      |                              |
| Í  | 1                |                      |                              |
| •  | 1                |                      |                              |
|  |                  |                      |                              |

## Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

| Magisterial District Court Number:  |  | 11-3-09                          | County: |                         | Luzerne       |                         |           |  |
|---|--|----------------------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-----------|--|
| 1.  | Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish     2. Effective date   |                                  |         |                         |               | ÷: 1/1/2022             |           |  |
| Caseload Analysis   |  |                                  |         |                         |               |                         |           |  |
|   | Average total caseloads:   | Avg for Magisterial District Avg |         | g for Judicial District |               | Avg for Class of County |           |  |
| 3.  |  | A. 4,552                         | B. 4,66 |                         | 668           | c.                      | 4,919     |  |
| 4.  | Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average. |                                  |         |                         | nce (3A - 3B) | Ranking                 | Total     |  |
|   |  |                                  |         |                         | -116          | 8                       | out of 16 |  |
| 5   | Compare the difference between the caseload average of this  |                                  |         | Differe                 | nce (3A - 3C) | % Abo                   | ve/Below; |  |
| ٥.  | magisterial district to your class of c  |                                  | -367    |                         | 7.5 %         |                         |           |  |
| 6. If this magisterial district is at the (ower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity. No changes are proposed for this Magisterial District because the District's average caseload is within the acceptable parameters. |  |                                  |         |                         |               |                         |           |  |

|    |                |     |     | _      |     |      |
|----|----------------|-----|-----|--------|-----|------|
| w  | or.            | kin | na. | Δn     | a۱۱ | /sis |
| ~~ | $\mathbf{v}_i$ |     | чч  | $\sim$ | uii | ,,,, |

| 7. | Average total workloads:   | Avg for Magisterial District | Avg for Judicial District |  |
|----|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
|    |  | A. 35,127                    | <sub>B.</sub> 38,454      |  |
| 8. | Compare the difference between the average total                 | Difference (7A - 7B)         | % Above/Below!            |  |
|    | workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district. | -3,327                       | 8.7 %                     |  |

9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent higher or lower than your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (summarize your response from the plan) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.

No changes are proposed for this Magisterial District because the District's average workload is within the acceptable parameters.

| Magisterial District Information  |             |                |                       |                               |  |  |  |
|---|-------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:   |             |                |                       |                               |  |  |  |
| Brian J. Tupper   |             |                | 12/31/23              | 12/31/55                      |  |  |  |
| Mogisterial District Judge Name   | Birthdate   |                | Term Expiration Date  | Mandatory Retirement Date     |  |  |  |
| 11. Magisterial District Court Information  | - Physical  | Location:      |                       |                               |  |  |  |
| 11 Carverton Road<br>Trucksville, PA. 18708   |             |                |                       |                               |  |  |  |
| 12. Is this court within the boundaries of  | the magist  | terial distri  | ct?                   | Yes                           |  |  |  |
| 13. Is the MDJ's residence within the bou   | ndaries of  | the magis      | terial district?      | Yes                           |  |  |  |
| 14. Are all portions of the magisterial dist  | rict contig | guous?         |                       | Yes                           |  |  |  |
| 15. To the best of your knowledge, are th   | . ,         |                | -                     | No/Not Sure                   |  |  |  |
| such as a mall, highway expansion or increase in the case filings for this off  | _           | •              | •                     | sponse below.                 |  |  |  |
|   |             |                |                       |                               |  |  |  |
|   |             |                |                       |                               |  |  |  |
| 16. List any police departments located w   | vithin this | magisteria     | l district.           |                               |  |  |  |
| Dallas Borough, Dallas Township, Harvey's Lal   | ke Borough, | Jackson Towi   | nship, Kingston Towns | ship, Lehman Township         |  |  |  |
| 17. List any major highways within this m   | agisterial  | district.      |                       |                               |  |  |  |
| Route 309, Route 415  | î           |                |                       |                               |  |  |  |
| 18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this for Realignment Orders submitted in   | -           | ial district ( | alphabetically). I    | For a list, click <u>HERE</u> |  |  |  |
| Dallas Borough Dallas Township Franklin Township Harvey's Lake Borough Jackson Township Kingston Township Lake Township Lehman Township |             |                |                       |                               |  |  |  |
| 19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the s<br>If NO, please list all proposed municipalities                                      | ly).        | Yes            |                       |                               |  |  |  |
| 3   |             |                |                       |                               |  |  |  |
| i,  |             |                |                       |                               |  |  |  |
| ·   | •           |                |                       |                               |  |  |  |
| :   | į           |                |                       |                               |  |  |  |
| 20. Additional Comments:  |             |                |                       | :                             |  |  |  |
|   |             |                |                       |                               |  |  |  |
| ·   |             |                |                       |                               |  |  |  |
|   |             | -              |                       |                               |  |  |  |
|   |             |                |                       |                               |  |  |  |